

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,689	08/27/2001	Michael Knaupp	340058.534	4320	
500 7:	590 12/17/2003		EXAMINER		
~	LECTUAL PROPERTY	PRONE, J	PRONE, JASON D		
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, W.	A 98104-7092	3724	./2		
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	3	
				<i>i</i> ,	
				1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• .,		Applica	ati n No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/940	,689	KNAUPP ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin	ner	Art Unit					
		Jason		3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> .								
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 44-61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 13-43 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,8 and 9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-7 and 10-12 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
	on Papers								
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachment									
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/940,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged.
 Examiner has combined groups II and III with elected group I.

2. Claims 44-61 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made with traverse in Paper No. 11.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "84" has been used to designate both a longitudinal axis and an entry port. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "telescopic flange" of claim 23 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3724

Specification

5. The use of the trademark "Carr Lane", on page 8 line 14, has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 11 line 18, the phrase "Serial No.____" should be replaced with "Serial No. 09/940,687".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shepherd (6,126,524).

'524 discloses the same invention including a cutting head assembly (34) having a body adapted to receive an orifice at an orifice location for generating a high pressure fluid jet (40), a mixing tube positioned within the body of the cutting head assembly downstream of the orifice location (46), a motion assembly (86) coupled to the cutting head assembly via a clamp positioned around the body of the cutting head assembly

Application/Control Number: 09/940,689 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

(68), and that the outer surface of the body mates with an inner surface of the clamp in a weight-bearing manner to vertically position and support the cutting head assembly (Fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '524 in view of Stewart et al. '524 discloses the invention but fails to disclose a position sensor coupled to the clamp adjacent the cutting head. Stewart et al. teaches a position sensor coupled to a clamp adjacent the cutting head (224). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided '524 with a position sensor, as taught by Stewart et al., to allow for a more precise cut.
- 11. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '524 in view of Gerber. '524 discloses the invention but fails to disclose a flexible shield coupled to and surrounding an end region of the mixing tube. Gerber teaches a flexible shield coupled to and surrounding an end region of a mixing tube (70). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided '524 with a shield, as taught by Gerber, to prevent unwanted materials coming into contact with the jet.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,689 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 2-7 and 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 13. Claims 13-43 are allowed.
- 14. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 13-43 are allowable because of the apparatus with a clamp, with a quick-release mechanism, that holds the cutting head assembly when the nozzle body assembly is separated from the cutting head assembly. None of the prior art cited discloses an apparatus that incorporates the use of a clamp that has a quick-release mechanism so that the clamp can easily be removed from the body of the cutting head assembly and that holds the cutting head assembly when the nozzle body assembly is separated from the cutting head assembly allowing access to the orifice location without removing the cutting head assembly. Therefore, in view of what has been stated above, the claims are allowable over the art of record.
- 15. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Boers et al., Schumacher, Sanders et al., Munoz, Lombari, Mirabello, Xu, Romanini, Mann et al., Mass et al., Sciulli, and Erichsen et al.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

JP

December 4, 2003

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700